

# DCP 305 Working Group Meeting 02

21 November 2017 at 10:00am

Skype Meeting

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Andrew Enzor [AE]	Northern Powergrid
Chris Ong [CO]	UK Power Networks
Tim Aldridge [TA]	Ofgem
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Dan Fittock [DF] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Anika Brandt	SSE
Dave Wornell	Western Power Distribution

## 1. Welcomes and Apologies

- 1.1 The Secretariat noted the welcome and apologies for this meeting.

## 2. Administration

- 2.1 The Working Group reviewed the minutes and noted that there were some amendments that had not been captured. ElectraLink took an action to update the minutes and circulate them for ex-committee Working Group approval.

**ACTION 02/01 - ElectraLink**

- 2.2 The Working Group reviewed the “*Competition Law Do’s and Don’ts*”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do’s and Don’ts for the duration of the meeting.

### 3. Purpose of the Meeting

---

- 3.1 The secretariat set out that the purpose of the meeting is to review the draft consultation document.

### 4. Review of the Draft Consultation Document

---

- 4.1 Working Group reviewed the draft consultation document which can be found as Attachment 1. The main points of discussion have been highlighted below:
- The Licence Condition definition of ‘EHV Property’ should be included within the consultation document with a link included to the License Condition;
  - The justification of a Part 1 matter requires updating to include impacts on competition;
  - The Working Group discussed the possibilities of whether DNOs have instances of the types of connections included within the DCP form and consultation or not; and if so then a derogation should be sought from Ofgem. In order to capture the numbers, it was agreed that an RFI should be issued to DNOs requesting these numbers as they could impact how DCP 305 progresses. Due to the sensitive nature of the information provided, it was agreed that these responses will be treated as anonymous regardless of the option chosen on the RFI response form.

#### **ACTION 02/02 - ElectraLink**

- It was agreed that Question 3 would not be required as the RFI should provide the answer;
- JL agreed to an action to review the legal text changes and provide a high level summary of the changes to be included within Section 6 of the consultation.

#### **ACTION 02/03 - JL**

- 4.2 The Working group went on to review the consultation questions in light of the discussions held and made the following comments:
- Question 2 ‘Do you agree with the proposer that the interpretation that this is common practice, or that following the DCUSA as it currently stands results in double charging?’ should be moved to Section 5 where it is more relevant;
  - Question 3 ‘Do you have any other such customers that fall into any of these categories?’ should be removed as the RFI will answer this question;

- Question 4 'Are there any such instances where a customer is connected to the IDNO network where you are charging for sole use assets and a shared asset?' should be removed as the RFI should answer this question;
- Question 5 'What will the impacts be for each of the scenarios? Please provide rationale' will be dependent on the outcome of the RFI – if there are customers then this will be included, but if there are no customers for the scenarios then the question will be removed and added into the Impact Assessment section; and
- Question 5 'Are there many instances of these scenarios occurring, and should a derogation be sought if the impacts are material? Please provide rationale' will be dependent on the outcome of the RFI – if there are customers then this will be included, but if there are no customers for the scenarios then the question will be removed and added into the Impact Assessment section.

4.3 The implementation date was also discussed as this could change based on the RFI results. The Working group agreed that if the RFI results show that DNOs have no customers in either scenario, that the implementation date could be the first DCUSA release following Authority Approval. However, if the DNOs responses show that they do have customers in either scenario, the implementation date will be set to 01 April 2020 to tie in with the notice period on tariff changes.

## 5. Work Plan

---

5.1 The DCP 305 Working Group reviewed the Work Plan and made a number of amendments which can be found as Attachment 2.

## 6. Any Other Business

---

6.1 There were no items of any other business discussed.

## 7. Date of Next Meeting

---

7.1 The Working Group agreed for the next meeting to be held on 8 January 2018 via Skype.

## Attachments

---

- Attachment 1 – DCP 305 Consultation Document with Working Group comments
- Attachment 2 – DCP 305 Updated Work Plan

## Appendix 1: New and open actions

### Open actions

---

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
<b>01/02</b>	To complete an impact assessment for each of the identified four scenarios.	Andrew Enzor	Ongoing.
<b>02/01</b>	To amend and circulate the minutes of Meeting 01 for ex-committee approval	ElectraLink	Completed post-meeting.
<b>02/02</b>	To issue an RFI to DNO parties	ElectraLink	Completed post-meeting.
<b>02/03</b>	To review the legal text changes and provide a high level summary of the changes to be included within Section 6 of the consultation	John Lawton	

#### Closed Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
<b>01/01</b>	To review Schedule XX, Schedule 17 and Schedule 18 for any required amendments in line with DCP 305.	John Lawton	Completed – Some of the legal text changes have now been included within Schedule XX and agreed by the Working Group.
<b>01/03</b>	To contact IDNO parties again and invite them to the DCP 305 Working Group.	ElectraLink	Completed post-meeting.